**NRC Field:** Ecology and Evolutionary Biology (Programs Ranked= 94)  
**KU Program:** Ecology and Evolutionary Biology

Higher rated programs have lower ranks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NRC Field: Ecology and Evolutionary Biology (Programs Ranked= 94)</th>
<th>KU Program: Ecology and Evolutionary Biology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>NRC Rankings 2010</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ranking 90% Confidence Interval End Points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Best Rank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regression-based Rankings (R)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey-based Rankings (S)</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Activity Dimensional Ranking</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Support &amp; Outcomes Dimensional Ranking</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversity Dimensional Ranking</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimensional Ranking</th>
<th>Selected Variables included in Dimensional Rankings (Source^)</th>
<th>Raw Data</th>
<th>Rank in NRC Field</th>
<th>Rank as a % of Program Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research Activity</td>
<td>Publications per allocated faculty (NRC)</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Citations per publications (NRC)</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent faculty with grants (NRC)</td>
<td>75.4%</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Awards per allocated faculty (NRC)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Support &amp; Outcomes</td>
<td>Percent 1st-yr. students with full support (KU)</td>
<td>92.9%</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent completing within discipline time frame (KU)</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time to degree (KU)</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversity</td>
<td>Percent non-Asian minority faculty (KU)</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent female faculty (KU)</td>
<td>32.4%</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent non-Asian minority students (KU)</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent female students (KU)</td>
<td>46.6%</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent international students (KU)</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Additional Variables included in R &amp; S Rankings</th>
<th>Raw Data</th>
<th>Rank in NRC Field</th>
<th>Rank as a % of Program Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other Overall Ranking Measures</td>
<td>Average PhDs 2002 to 2006 (KU)</td>
<td>8.80</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent faculty interdisciplinary (KU)</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent 1st-yr. students with external funding (KU)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^ (NRC) indicates that the NRC obtained the data from a source other than KU.

Data used in this study were gathered in 2006-2007 but reflect data from prior years.  
Please refer to AIMS, https://demisweb.ku.edu/Portal, for current data trends.
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KU Program: Ecology and Evolutionary Biology

Regression-based Rankings

The line represents the range where, with 90% certainty, the program’s rank is located. The larger blue dot represents the best ranking in the 90% range and the smaller black dot represents the worst ranking in the 90% range. The X-axis represents all ranked programs; The Y-axis represents AAU Public & Big 12 ranked programs. The vertical dashed lines reflect quartiles of all ranked programs.

Regression-based national rank range from 5% to 95% - 94 programs ranked
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NRC Field: Ecology and Evolutionary Biology (Programs Ranked= 94)
KU Program: Ecology and Evolutionary Biology

Survey-based Rankings

The line represents the range where, with 90% certainty, the program's rank is located. The larger blue dot represents the best ranking in the 90% range and the smaller black dot represents the worst ranking in the 90% range. The X-axis represents all ranked programs; The Y-axis represents AAU Public & Big 12 ranked programs. The vertical dashed lines reflect quartiles of all ranked programs.

Survey-based national rank range from 5% to 95% - 94 programs ranked
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Research Rankings

The line represents the range where, with 90% certainty, the program's rank is located. The larger blue dot represents the best ranking in the 90% range and the smaller black dot represents the worst ranking in the 90% range. The X-axis represents all ranked programs; The Y-axis represents AAU Public & Big 12 ranked programs. The vertical dashed lines reflect quartiles of all ranked programs.
**NRC Field:** Ecology and Evolutionary Biology (Programs Ranked= 94)

**KU Program:** Ecology and Evolutionary Biology

**Student Support Rankings**

The line represents the range where, with 90% certainty, the program’s rank is located. The larger blue dot represents the best ranking in the 90% range and the smaller black dot represents the worst ranking in the 90% range. The X-axis represents all ranked programs; the Y-axis represents AAU Public & Big 12 ranked programs. The vertical dashed lines reflect quartiles of all ranked programs.

1. Nebraska
2. KU: Ecol. & Evol. Biology
3. UCIrvine
4. Michigan St
5. UC Davis
6. Michigan St
7. UC Davis
8. Ohio St
9. Indiana
10. Colorado
11. Illinois
12. Penn St
13. UCSB
14. UC Berkeley
15. SUNY-SB
16. Missouri
17. Michigan
18. Maryland
19. Michigan St
20. UC Berkeley
21. Oklahoma St
22. UCLA
23. N Carolina
24. Minnesota
25. Florida
26. Arizona
27. Florida
28. Iowa St
29. Rutgers
30. Minnesota
31. Florida
32. Wisconsin
33. Purdue
34. UC Davis
35. Purdue
36. Texas
NRC Field: Ecology and Evolutionary Biology (Programs Ranked= 94)
KU Program: Ecology and Evolutionary Biology

Diversity Rankings

The line represents the range where, with 90% certainty, the program’s rank is located. The larger blue dot represents the best ranking in the 90% range and the smaller black dot represents the worst ranking in the 90% range. The X-axis represents all ranked programs; The Y-axis represents AAU Public & Big 12 ranked programs. The vertical dashed lines reflect quartiles of all ranked programs.

1. Oklahoma St
2. UC Davis
3. Colorado
4. UCLA
5. UC Berkeley
6. Indiana
7. Nebraska
8. Maryland
9. UC Irvine
10. KU: Ecol. & Evol. Biology
11. Minnesota
12. Arizona
13. Minnesota
14. Iowa St
15. N Carolina
16. UC Berkeley
17. UC Davis
18. Florida
19. Michigan St
20. Michigan St
21. Michigan
22. Ohio St
23. Illinois
24. Florida
25. SUNY-SB
26. Rutgers
27. Wisconsin
28. Purdue
29. Michigan St
30. Missouri
31. Florida
32. Texas
33. UC Davis
34. Purdue
35. UCSB
36. Penn St